





DATE MAILED: 03/08/2002

UNITE	ED STATES PATENT.	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPARTM	ENT OF COMMERCE
Target in the start of the star			United States Patent and Tr Address: COMMISSIONER OF PA Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usplo.gov	ademark Office
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/898,853	07/25/1997	HISASHI YAMAGISHI	Q45980	6473
SUGHRUE M	90 03/08/2002 IION ZINN MACPEA	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W WASHINGTON, DC 200373202			GORDON, RAEANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3711 DATE MAIL ED: 02/08/2002	39

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

Application No.

08/898,853

Applicant(s)

Yamagishi et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Raeann Gorden

Art Unit **3711**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period f	for Reply				
A SHO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
aft - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any r	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ation. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 21, 2</u>	001			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 13-19	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)				
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ntion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on				
12)					
13)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign polyally by Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
		ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
_					
14)[X	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 33 0.3.6. 3 173(c).			
Attachm	ent(s)				
15) 🗌 N	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Raview (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
17) 🔲 lr	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 08/898,853

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not substantially disclose a third layer on the core of the golf ball. There is an option of providing additional layers (spec 9 line 5) but there are no specific details given regarding the characteristics. Particularly there is no mention of the Shore D hardness being less than the second layer (claim 13) or the thickness (claim 15). Furthermore, there is no mention of the specific gravities in claim 16 or the materials in claim 18.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-12 are allowed.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/898,853

Art Unit: 3711

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments and declaration has been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' argument is based on the premise that although two core layers and two cover layers were originally disclosed in the instant application, the innermost cover layer may nevertheless be considered simply an outermost core layer. Applicants state that there is no substantial difference between what has been disclosed as an inner cover layer, and, what the patent with which applicants seek to provoke an interference, discloses as an outer core layer, citing similar materials and compositions in the compared layers. However, this position is at odds with that taken by the applicants in paper 6 where applicants argued that prior at showing a three piece core and a cover is "completely distinguishable" from the ball of the applicants' claims, (emphasis added). (See paper 6 remarks pages 4-6). These remarks were made in an attempt to overcome a prior art rejection. Under file wrapper estoppel doctrine applicants are estopped from now arguing that the inner cover layer may be considered an outer core layer or vice-versa.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/898,853

Art Unit: 3711

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is (703) 308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell can be reached on 703-308-2126. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Rg March 5, 2002

Graham Graham